

# THOUSAND OAKS CITY COUNCIL



## Supplemental Information Packet

**Agenda Related Items - Meeting of December 14, 2021  
Supplemental Packet Date: December 14, 2021**

**5:00 p.m.**

### **Supplemental Information:**

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed, typically a minimum of two—one available on the Thursday preceding the City Council meeting and the second on Tuesday at the meeting. The Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection on the City's website at [toaks.org/agendas](http://toaks.org/agendas) or by contacting the City Clerk Dept at (805) 449-2151 during normal business hours [main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2)].

### **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):**

In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting or other services in conjunction with this meeting, please contact the City Clerk Department at (805) 449-2151. Assisted listening devices are available at this meeting. Ask City Clerk staff if you desire to use this device. Upon request, the agenda and documents in this agenda packet, can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist City staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

Name	City	Item #	In favor/ Opposed	Comment
Karen Martin	Thousand Oaks	8A	Opposed	<p>It seems the city continues to put the majority of the financial responsibility to balance the waste water expenses on the residents of this community. I oppose this increase in rates as we were just asked to prepay our fees with our property taxes to save the city \$70,000 AND 325 staff hours. It wasn't that long ago and the discussion didn't indicate that there was a deficit in the billing at that time. Now you are coming to us with your hands out again.</p> <p>You gave the Latigo Group free wastewater hookup and fees for at least 3 years for 142 units with the option to extend the "no charge" arrangement for a longer period (no parameters were provided for this extension). That equates to almost \$4,500 a year not including the cost of fixture units or hook up fees that were also waived.</p> <p>During the Lakes hearing it was stated that there was plenty of wastewater space for that project. Why now are you asking for more money from residents?</p> <p>Perhaps a better solution would be to titration the cost each residence paid to their water usage. Wouldn't that make sense? It would ensure those with large properties or large families using the most water (thereby creating the waste water) would pay more and those conserving water or who have smaller consumption would pay less. I oppose this raise in rate not because it is not warranted but unfair to the majority of the citizens who are being charged.</p>
		8A	Opposed	I am retired on a fixed income. You have already made me prepay my bill. Why don't you charge heavy water users? It is just me and my husband.
Karen Martin	Thousand Oaks	10A	In favor	Please place one at Lynn and Janss. I have been begging for some kind of traffic control, but was told this was too expensive. Since the number of patrol officers have been reduced as this city's population has grown, my neighborhood is subjected to speeding, illegal mufflers, and heavy trucks for construction. I realize you would have no idea since there hasn't been a traffic study in over a decade even though the hospital has grown tremendously and CLU will be enlarging soon. Lynn is lined with residential even though it is a surface street arteriole. We deserve the same quality of life required for new construction. Aren't hospital zones supposed to be quiet? HELP!
		10A	In favor	YES! YES! YES! You have to do something about the traffic!!!!