

THOUSAND OAKS CITY COUNCIL



Supplemental Information Packet

**Agenda Related Items - Meeting of March 30, 2021
Supplemental Packet Date: March 30, 2021**

5:00 p.m.

Supplemental Information:

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed, typically a minimum of two—one available on the Thursday preceding the City Council meeting and the second on Tuesday at the meeting. The Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection on the City's website at toaks.org/agendas or by contacting the City Clerk Dept at (805) 449-2151 during normal business hours [main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2)].

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting or other services in conjunction with this meeting, please contact the City Clerk Department at (805) 449-2151. Assisted listening devices are available at this meeting. Ask City Clerk staff if you desire to use this device. Upon request, the agenda and documents in this agenda packet, can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist City staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

TO COUNCIL: 03/30/2021
MEETING DATE: 03/30/2021

Name (Opt)	Community of Residence	Item #	In favor/ Opposed	Comment
Stephanie Sullivan	Thousand Oaks	4 - Public Comments only	N/A	<p>Dear Councilmembers,</p> <p>My name is Stephanie Sullivan and I have been a resident of Thousand Oaks for nearly 20 years. I am writing once again to ask that you address racism in our community. Since Mayor Pro Tem Engler suggested that this goal setting meeting would be a more appropriate time to discuss these issues, I hope you will take this up tonight.</p> <p>As you are all aware, the community has been asking for the City Council to adopt an equity resolution and establish an Equity Commission since June 2020. We have been told that the General Plan will have an environmental justice component, which will help to address disparities in our community. But after watching the discussion during the study session on this very topic, I am even more convinced that our City Council must take more immediate action.</p> <p>Councilmembers need to engage in their own learning on racism - interpersonal, institutional, and structural - especially Mr. Jones and Mr. McNamee. It was very upsetting to see their disregard for the realities of structural racism and how it impacts our Black and Indigenous friends and neighbors. I can only imagine how hurtful their comments were to those who are directly impacted - to hear that their representatives are denying their lived reality. In order to properly serve the members of this community, the City should hire a BIPOC DEI consultant to engage councilmembers in education and develop a plan as to how to actually address inequities.</p> <p>In consideration of the goals for tonight's meeting, I urge you to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Incorporate a new City Council Norm: When Councilmembers cause harm to other Councilmembers or members of the community, they will work to repair it with the person who is harmed. 2. Add a Top Ten Priority: Address the current manifestations of discrimination and systemic racism in Thousand Oaks, including housing disparities and uneven resource allocation. The creation of an Equity Commission is critical to continue this work. 3. Create a new Citywide Goal: Make Thousand Oaks a more equitable community and identify ways to make it more inclusive for all people: BIPOC, mentally and physically disabled, LGBTQIA+ folks, and our houseless neighbors. <p>Sincerely, Stephanie Sullivan (she/her)</p>
Randall Edwards	Thousand Oaks	4 - Public Comments only	N/A	<p>I applaud the proposed Citywide Goals, particularly with regard to responsive and ethical government, commitment to safety, preserving open space, and maintaining community and cultural programs. I especially support the need for building affordable housing but believe strongly that it should be distributed around the cities and not congested solely onto Thousand Oaks Blvd. In addition, I hope that you will continue foster an environment of equity and inclusion for all members of our community. Thank you for providing Spanish translation.</p>
Robert Elias	Thousand Oaks	4 - Public Comments only	In favor	<p>The Wildwood parking lot was ill conceived. This is the only lot located deep in a residential neighborhood and thus presents multiple safety and quality of life issues. There are multiple already established, non-residential lots that would better service this trail system. The frequency of car trips, many by out of town visitors, has increased dramatically over the past 3-4 years. With an elementary school and many young children playing around Ave. de Los Arboles, this presents a public safety issue. I urge the council to work toward a phase out of this obsolete parking lot location. Thank you.</p>

Name (Opt)	Community of Residence	Item #	In favor/ Opposed	Comment
ROBIN KENNEDY	Thousand Oaks	4 - Public Comments on	In favor	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are other places to put this crowd that will not create a nuisance and will be revenue positive for the city and county, primarily at Hill Canyon and the end of Rancho Conejo by the city's maintenance yard. Please take this into account when determining the development usage for this area and make sure to include a Parking Lot and Easement rights into Wildwood Park and use this as a new entrance. 2. Please listen to the issues being presented by the neighborhood immediately impacted by the parking lot for Wildwood Park. 3. The situation is not that we moved next to a nuisance, but that the nuisance developed during the past several years due to the popularity of social media. 4. There are people in the greater neighborhood area that are not impacted by these issues and they vote to not change anything, skewing the results.