

# THOUSAND OAKS CITY COUNCIL



## Supplemental Information Packet

*CM*

**Agenda Related Items - Meeting of June 5, 2018**  
**Supplemental Packet Date: June 5, 2018**

### Supplemental Information:

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed, typically a minimum of two—one available on the Thursday preceding the City Council meeting and the second on Tuesday at the meeting. The Thursday Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection in the City Clerk Department, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard, during normal business hours (main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2)). Both the Thursday and Tuesday Supplemental Packets are available for public review at the City Council meeting in the City Council Chambers, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard.

### Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting or other services in conjunction with this meeting, please contact the City Clerk Department at (805) 449-2151. Assisted listening devices are available at this meeting. Ask City Clerk staff if you desire to use this device. Upon request, the agenda and documents in this agenda packet, can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist City staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

From: Sandee Saurman <whirlyderv@msn.com>

Date: Wed, May 30, 2018 at 19:02

Subject: Wed night

To: Cnclmanfox@aol.com <Cnclmanfox@aol.com>, Jprice@toaks.org <Jprice@toaks.org>, claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com <claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com>, Aadam@toaks.org <Aadam@toaks.org>

2018 JUN -5 PM 5:05

My Dear City Council Members,  
YES!! Me again!

Tuesday's vote.. I worry that you all will rely on the comfort of doing nothing because a "sister-city" is doing nothing.

Will you remain neutral because you believe it won't make a difference? Is that the RIGHT thing to do?

If you listen to Sheriff Dean to "wait for the supreme law of the land" you will NOT be doing what is right. You will be muting our voices that we could have had through our city representatives.

A person with good morals will not do what is wrong. A person with CHARACTER will do what is right!

Will you all do what is right and demonstrate the character I know you all possess?

Bless you all,  
Sandee

TO COUNCIL 6-5-18  
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C  
MEETING DATE 6-5-18

From: Fred Macintosh <[fredcube102@aol.com](mailto:fredcube102@aol.com)>

Date: June 5, 2018 at 15:05:22 PDT

To: [Fredcube102@aol.com](mailto:fredcube102@aol.com)

2018 JUN -5 PM 3:42

CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT  
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

Hello (Thousand Oaks City Council):

Please allow the city council to form an Amicus brief after public discussion about SB-54. This sanctuary state policy went into law on Jan 1<sup>st</sup> 2018. By putting this topic on the agenda we the people of California can discuss this very important topic that many citizens of the community disagree with. California policy has failed to allow the federal government from being allowed to enforce immigration policy for criminals who are here illegally that are being re-released back into society, making it now a public safety issue. Wish you the best of luck in all of your efforts to make the community a great place to live in.

Sincerely Dura Young

Email: [DuraYoung@yahoo.com](mailto:DuraYoung@yahoo.com)

TO COUNCIL 6-5-18  
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C  
MEETING DATE 6-5-18

**From:** Hal Vick <[socalhal46@gmail.com](mailto:socalhal46@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** June 5, 2018 at 15:12:24 PDT  
**To:** [claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com](mailto:claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com)  
**Subject:** SB 54

2018 JUN -5 PM 3:42

CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT  
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

I urge the council to vote "no" on making TO a non-sanctuary city. It is a meaningless gesture SB 54 does not harbor violent felons, nor does it release undocumented criminals back into the community despite the inaccurate statements of nonresidents of our city making that claim. Additionally, those same speakers claimed that the state of California had no authority to overrule federal law. The same could be said of Thousand Oaks if they were to ignore state law. The current system under SB 54 has been working and we do not need to help those who are attempting to create a wedge issue that divides our community.

I urge you to vote "no". BTW I have seen ICE in action and we do not need them in our community. Despite the rhetoric by some addressing the council, ICE rarely goes after gang members, but rather it's grandmothers, fathers and mothers. I have seen ICE "staking out" schools, including adult school. I have also seen them ignoring high-crime areas.

Please concentrate on making our city better, and not be sucked into tilting at windmills.

Thank you for your consideration.

Hal Vick  
1954 Meadow View Court  
Thousand Oaks  
40 year resident of Thousand Oaks

TO COUNCIL 6-5-18  
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C  
MEETING DATE 6-5-18

**From:** Yahoo <rswenson2@yahoo.com>

**Date:** June 5, 2018 at 15:43:38 PDT

**To:** "Claudia4SlowGrowth@roadrunner.com" <Claudia4SlowGrowth@roadrunner.com>

**Subject:** Vote on SB 54

**Reply-To:** Yahoo <rswenson2@yahoo.com>

Dear Ms. de la Pena,

As a senior American citizen and a Thousand Oaks resident, I am very concerned about the CA sanctuary law, SB 54. The issue is about protecting law-abiding American citizens. It's sad that we have to plead for our protection, but that is the reality where the lawmakers in Sacramento cause lunacy to reign in CA. It is pathetic that criminal illegals are given preference over law-abiding American citizens.

I am urging you to vote to exempt Thousand Oaks from CA SB 54 and to join the 40+ other courageous cities which have already exempted their cities from the state law. We want Thousand Oaks to join the U.S. Department of Justice's lawsuit against the state of CA, no matter what the financial cost.

I found it astounding that Manager Powers said on April 10th that "Thousand Oaks is not a sanctuary city." He is paid over \$300,000. and doesn't know that Thousand Oaks is within California?

Ms. de la Pena, you voted against the Oakmont senior center which I and most of my neighbors wanted, and you voted for a marijuana dispensary, which welcomes drug users to this area. How can you not vote for the protection of the law-abiding Americans in Thousand Oaks?

Sincerely,

Rosemary Swenson

2018 JUN -5 PM 5:05  
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT  
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

TO COUNCIL 6-5-18  
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C  
MEETING DATE 6-5-18

**From:** Anahi Quiroz <anahiquiroz1000@gmail.com>

**Date:** June 5, 2018 at 15:49:23 PDT

**To:** [cnclmanfox@aol.com](mailto:cnclmanfox@aol.com), [rmccoy@toaks.org](mailto:rmccoy@toaks.org),

[aadam@toaks.org](mailto:aadam@toaks.org), [claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com](mailto:claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com), [jprice@toaks.org](mailto:jprice@toaks.org)

**Subject:** I support SB 54

2018 JUN -5 PM 5:05

CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT  
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

To Mayor Andrew P. Fox, Mayor, Mayor Pro Tem Rob McCoy, Councilmembers Al Adam, Claudia Bill-de la Peña, Joel Price:

Hello. I live, work and pay taxes in Thousand Oaks and I support SB 54.

As an undocumented immigrant who should be considered an economic refugee, I have seen how the world comes to this beautiful city, and I am afraid that many of the wonderful artists that come to the Civic Arts Plaza will feel uncomfortable to come here, knowing that Thousand Oaks will officially become an unwelcoming place for immigrants by opposing SB 54.

On another note, ICE can go after local businesses for hiring undocumented immigrants by accepting false documentation to gain cheap labor. If you want local employers to be fined by ICE, by all means oppose SB54. ICE can prosecute employers who knowingly hire illegal immigrants. ICE can and will charge businesses with criminal prosecutions, administrative sanctions or debarments. If the council opposes SB54 expect a war between local business owners and ICE. If you don't think local businesses hire undocumented immigrants, think hard and deeply because many local businesses depend on the labor of undocumented immigrants. These maybe restaurants or assisted living facilities to give you an idea...

If you vote to oppose SB 54, it would confirm to the whole world that people in Thousand Oaks are very racist.

I expect my council members to show that in Thousand Oaks our immigrant community who supports the backbone of our economy is protected from racism and prejudice and will not be harassed by ICE.

I believe this city has an excellent police force that time and time again has said SB54 does not prevent them from enforcing the law and immigrants are not committing serious or violent crimes.

As an engaged member of this community, I will be following this issue and your response closely. I demand from each of you to support SB54 and something that all of you should be working on is getting the airplanes out of our skies. The airplane noise is certainly changing the feel Thousand Oaks has and the standard of living is certainly having a negative impact. If you haven't noticed this, go take a hike on Wildwood park and you will notice that there's not a single minute without airplanes in the sky disturbing the quietness of our mountains.

Thank you for your time,  
Anahi Quiroz Romero  
232 E Sidlee St  
Thousand Oaks

TO COUNCIL 6-5-18  
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C  
MEETING DATE 6-5-18

From: Kara Buss <karobien@gmail.com>

Date: June 5, 2018 at 16:02:05 PDT

To: [cnclmanfox@aol.com](mailto:cnclmanfox@aol.com), [aadam@toaks.org](mailto:aadam@toaks.org), [jprice@toaks.org](mailto:jprice@toaks.org), [claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com](mailto:claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com),  
[rmccoy@toaks.org](mailto:rmccoy@toaks.org)

Subject: Re: I Support SB54

2018 JUN -5 PM 5:05  
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT  
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

Hello Thousand Oaks City Council Members,

I wanted to send a more in depth email as to why I am against the City of Thousand Oaks deciding to take any sort of action in regards to SB 54. As homeowner and business person in the community I plan to speak tonight but have many responsibilities, which may prevent me. In the event that I am unable to speak below are the comments I would make:

"There is no reason for me to be here tonight there is no reason for Thousand Oaks to consider filing an Amicus Brief in regards to the Federal Government lawsuit against California.

The Trump Administration's lawsuit challenging the SB 54 the following 3 SB 54 provisions:

- Providing non-public personal information about an individual, including their home or work address, to immigration authorities;
- Notifying immigration authorities of an individual's release date, with a list of exceptions; and
- Transferring an individual into the custody of immigration authorities without a judicial warrant, but with a list of exceptions.

primarily rests on its claim that, by prohibiting the sharing of release dates and personal information, SB 54 violates **federal law**. But the law only prohibits state policies that restrict the sharing of *immigration status and citizenship* information — not release dates, addresses, or any other personal information. In fact, as one federal court **recently put it**, “[n]othing in 8 U.S.C. § 1373(a) addresses information concerning an inmate’s release date.” And another federal court **recently held** that Philadelphia’s similar policies — which restrict the sharing of people’s addresses and release dates — do not violate federal law.

The government’s other objection to SB 54 is the very reason why SB 54 is constitutional. It argues that the law harms the federal government because it “requires ICE to expend greater time and recourses” to carry out its arrests. But the fact that ICE will have to work harder and expend more of *its own* resources if states and localities do not assist them with their every request underscores the legality of California’s position.

Under the 10th Amendment, the federal government cannot force states or localities to participate in a federal program. The Supreme Court announced that principle in 1997, in *Printz v. United States*, where it ruled that the federal government could not command states to conduct background checks on gun purchasers. The same principle applies here: The federal government cannot require states to participate in its deportation program. Indeed, no federal law mandates that states or localities

TO COUNCIL 6-5-18  
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C  
MEETING DATE 6-5-18

use their own resources to aid federal immigration agents in locating and arresting people.

The immigration system in this country is deeply flawed, but the City Council of Thousand Oaks voting to file an Amicus Brief is not productive."

Respectfully,  
Kara Buss

Kara Buss  
Mobile 818.665.5088

On Tue, May 29, 2018 at 5:59 PM, Kara Buss <[karobien@gmail.com](mailto:karobien@gmail.com)> wrote:

Hello Thousand Oaks City Council Members,

I am a homeowner and work in Thousand Oaks and I support SB 54.

If you vote to oppose SB 54, I would feel inclined to not vote for you in future elections.

I expect my council members to either abstain from voting or support SB 54.

As an engaged member of this community, I will be following this issue and your response closely.

Thank you for your time,

Kara Buss  
271 Dryden Street  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Mobile 818.665.5088



**Voicemail Transcription**

2018 JUN -5 PM 5:10

**Date: Tuesday, June 5, 2018**

CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT  
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

Hi Claudia,

My name is Kate English, I run a non-profit teen center in Fillmore actually, California. I have been very involved in watching the different cities take up the issue of SB 54 and I'm just hoping that I can give you a little heads up as a City Councilmember.

There is a group of individuals that have been coming to these meetings who oppose SB 54 and who are inciting a lot of energy against SB 54 around the County. I don't believe most of them, or if any of them live in the County, but some of my friends have spoken out in support of SB 54 and we're being harassed and called racist names from this crowd in the front row. They usually sit in the front rows, at least that was their behavior in Camarillo. They used the word "wetback" and they used the words...they told another friend of in community to go back to Mexico while she was talking about her immigrant experience. I'm just letting you know that this is some of the vitriol to expect tonight and I'm hoping that you as a City Councilmember will just ensure some safety in the room, and that if that type of language starts that you would ask and that you would watch for it and listen for it. The Camarillo City Councilmembers couldn't really hear it because they were saying it under their breath at the person standing right in front of them, so no one put a stop to it in Camarillo and I would just hope that that doesn't repeat in Thousand Oaks tonight while you are looking at this issue.

I don't know if my voice counts, but I firmly support the California Values Act, I think it creates safer neighborhoods because our undocumented community, which is here, and which does provide value to our county, they feel safer to interact with law enforcement with SB 54 in place, they feel safer to interact with our local government when they know that their status will not be disclosed. And so, I am just hoping that you get this message before the meeting. Ok, bye bye.

TO COUNCIL 6-5-18  
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C  
MEETING DATE 6-5-18

2018 JUN -5 PM 5:10

CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT  
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

Voice mail

Chien Family

Oppose SB54

4:42 pm.

TO COUNCIL 6-5-18  
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9c  
MEETING DATE 6-5-18