

THOUSAND OAKS CITY COUNCIL



Supplemental Information Packet

Cynthia M. Rodriguez

Agenda Related Items - Meeting of June 5, 2018
Supplemental Packet Date: May 31, 2018

Supplemental Information:

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed, typically a minimum of two—one available on the Thursday preceding the City Council meeting and the second on Tuesday at the meeting. The Thursday Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection in the City Clerk Department, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard, during normal business hours (main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2)). Both the Thursday and Tuesday Supplemental Packets are available for public review at the City Council meeting in the City Council Chambers, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting or other services in conjunction with this meeting, please contact the City Clerk Department at (805) 449-2151. Assisted listening devices are available at this meeting. Ask City Clerk staff if you desire to use this device. Upon request, the agenda and documents in this agenda packet, can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist City staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

From: Laura Gross <legprodns@aol.com>
Date: May 22, 2018 at 16:50:30 PDT
To: claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com
Subject: SB 54

2018 MAY 23 PM 5:17
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

Dear Ms. Bill-de la Pena,

I am writing to urge you to either support SB 54 or abstain at the June 5th Council Meeting.

1. An amicus brief will have no impact on the state law, and will only do harm to the city of Thousand Oaks.
2. Your exciting plans to expand Thousand Oaks' downtown, to invite new residents and commerce will suffer, because young, fair-minded people will not want to live in a city that has landed on the wrong side of a moral issue.
3. The opponents of SB 54 completely misunderstand and/or misrepresent the law, which, in fact, does allow any felons to be turned over to ICE.

I live in Agoura Hills, and thus am a regular shopper/diner/visitor to Thousand Oaks. I love the city, and would like to continue to bring most of my business there, and knowing you are on the right side of this issue would certainly encourage that.

Thank you for your consideration.

Laura Gross

TO COUNCIL 5-31-2018
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C
MEETING DATE 6-5-2018

From: Maricela Morales [mailto:maricela@causenow.org]

Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2018 9:58 AM

To: City Manager's Office <CityManager@toaks.org>

Subject: Defend SB54 in Camarillo and Thousand Oaks

2018 MAY 23 PM 5:14



Central Coast Alliance United for A Sustainable Economy

CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

community values in action

Dear CAUSE friends,

Our community is now witnessing the wave of anti-immigrant agitators showing up to city councils across the state attacking SB54, the California Values Act. The Simi Valley City Council has already decided to side with hate and the Trump administration.

Although SB54 is already state law after being thoroughly debated, vetted, and amended all last year, some cities are falling prey to divisive anti-immigrant sentiment and choosing to make a purely symbolic statement showing that their community doesn't welcome immigrants.

Tonight the Camarillo City Council will take on this debate around 7:30 pm.

Thousand Oaks is voting on Tuesday, June 5th.

Please join the incredible local progressive community members organizing to stand up and fight back for our communities' values. We're filled with hope after the city of Paso Robles unanimously rejected a call to oppose SB54 earlier this month.

If you can't make it, please write to the Camarillo and Thousand Oaks City Councils and ask them to #DefendSB54. Keep it simple if you don't have a lot of time. The number of emails matters: Santa Clarita used the small number received as part of their rationale to oppose SB54.

Send Camarillo City Council a Message.

Send Thousand Oaks City Council a Message.

Thank you to all our partners who have been leading this fight!

In solidarity,

Maricela

[Donate](#)

[Unsubscribe](#)

CAUSE

2021 Sperry Avenue

Suite 9

Ventura, CA 93003

United States

[Forward](#)

info@causenow.org

805-658-0810

TO COUNCIL 5-31-2018
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C
MEETING DATE 6-5-2018

From: Sandee Saurman <whirlyderv@msn.com>

Date: May 24, 2018 at 19:53:41 PDT

To: "Rmccoy@toaks.org" <Rmccoy@toaks.org>, "Aadam@toaks.org" <Aadam@toaks.org>,
"Cnclmanfox@aol.com" <Cnclmanfox@aol.com>, "Jprice@toaks.org" <Jprice@toaks.org>

"claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com" <claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com>

Subject: Camarillo

2018 MAY 25 AM 8:38

CLEAR DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

My Dear City Council Members,

By now I am sure you have read that the Camarillo City Council took a 'no stance' on SB 54. I am sure you must know or imagine that "we" were all there trying to convince our sister city why the law is so dangerous. I understand that whether or not "a city" or "our city" sides with the federal government MAY only be symbolic. But maybe not. I wish you all could have attended last night and listened to the bias (in my opinion) of Camarillo's city attorney. I felt like he put up slides that were his "spin" FOR SB54 and left out important details. If you want me to send you a link of the video, or screen shots of his talking points slides I can send you, but quite frankly I'm afraid it might bias you all.

It saddened me to see the anger on both sides last night. But what was really gut wrenching was the insinuation of the pro people that we (anti SB54-ers) hate, take advantage, want to deport etc immigrants. There is verbiage in the law regarding immigrants, THAT is not the issue.

Here is the issue and please remember that this is the loophole that puts us all in danger. That time period between when a person is arrested (that SHOULD be deported) and when they see the judge that (if) decides probable cause. Example: Jose Vaca. He is the first. There will be more. This bill is SO new. I keep reading and re-reading this bill. This is NOT about immigration. Also remember the inability of local law enforcement to honor ICE holds. This is ALSO new. Only since January. Remember the percent quoted by Sheriff Dean that really got deported by ICE was HALF of 1% of all bookings. So it's not like a deluge of deportations. But what if ONE of those deportations made it so a murder or a terrible crime did NOT occur?

Sheriff Dean feels it's a terrible law. His hands are tied. I have spent time (along with others in our group who are opposed) with Bill Ayub. He is an honorable man. I am confident we are in good hands with him, but his hands will be tied too.

I pray for victory for the federal government. Not because I hate immigrants, but because I want ALL of us safe. If the federal government wins (yes it will go on and on), don't you all want to make a meaningful statement on this issue that could make history?

Food for thought. Thanks for reading and all your hard work.

Blessings to you all,

Sandee

TO COUNCIL 5-31-2018
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C
MEETING DATE 6-5-2018

From: Mandyjacob <mandyjacob@protonmail.com>

Date: May 25, 2018 at 07:52:00 PDT

To: "Rmccoy@toaks.org" <Rmccoy@toaks.org>, "Aadam@toaks.org" <Aadam@toaks.org>, "claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com" <claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com>, "Cnclmanfox@aol.com" <Cnclmanfox@aol.com>, "Jprice@toaks.org" <Jprice@toaks.org>

Subject: Camarillo update

Reply-To: Mandyjacob <mandyjacob@protonmail.com>

2018 MAY 25 AM 8:38
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
SAND OAKS

Dear City Council members

I thought I should update you on the happenings in Camarillo.

- There were 103 speakers split down the middle re SB54.
- Each person had two minutes to talk
- After roughly 64 people, I got to share my viewpoints.

Mine was not a speech, in fact it was simply an observation. Out of the 34 people that spoke in favor of SB 54, 28 addressed the issue of illegal immigration. That meant that the city councilmembers had to listen to people's heart felt testimonies about illegal immigration for over an hour. It was lovely however, it has nothing to do with SB 54.

There were pastors there, SURJ and too many other groups to mention. The problem is that none of those people had read the bill and don't understand that we have no issue with the illegal aliens but with illegal alien criminals.

No doubt you heard that Camarillo voted 6-0 but I thought I would at least warn you about this so we could learn from it for our June 5th meeting. I left at 12am but apparently it went on until 2am. I know your time is precious and the decision is re SB54 is important, so I wanted to at least let you know how things played out in Camarillo.

Hope you enjoy your long weekend.

Best
Mandy

TO COUNCIL 5-31-2018
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C
MEETING DATE 6-5-2018

From: Rose Reiss <rok9570@gmail.com>
Date: May 25, 2018 at 21:20:43 PDT
To: claudia4slowgrowth@roadrunner.com
Subject: Support for SB 54

2018 MAY 30 AM 7:40
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

Dear Council Member Bill-de la Pena

This is a letter urging the Thousand Oaks city council's support of California's state sanctuary laws.

In the recent 2 months, there has been a racist and anti-immigrant fervor raised by the national anti-immigrant hate group the Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR) and its legal arm the Immigration Reform Law Institute (IRLI). It has mobilized a small but vocal group of activists bent on pushing city council members in southern California, to take a stance against the state's sanctuary policies. This group has stoked racial tension, which only polarizes and divides our community.

The ACLU strongly opposes approving any ordinance to exempt a city from the SB 54 California Values Act. It would be a blatant violation of the city's obligation to follow state law. A City council member may claim they want to uphold the U.S. Constitution, but it does the exact opposite. Under the 10th Amendment, the federal government cannot force states or localities to participate in a federal program. No federal law mandates that states or localities use their own resources to aid federal immigration agents in locating and arresting people. The state of California has every right under the Constitution to protect the safety and well-being of all its residents, and ensure that its resources are not being used to enforce federal immigration laws that fuel mass deportations, separate families and spread fear through the community.

Let's learn from past U.S. immigration history and not divide our community, but work together as a community for the welfare and betterment of all peoples! **Please support our California sanctuary laws at the next meeting of your city council meeting on Tuesday, June 5.**

Regards,
Rose Reiss
Constituent

Sent from my iPhone

TO COUNCIL 5-31-18
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C
MEETING DATE 6-5-18

From: Mandyjacob <mandyjacob@protonmail.com>

Subject: City Council meeting next Tuesday

Date: May 29, 2018 at 8:39:44 PM PDT

To: Al Adam <AAdam@toaks.org>, "Cnclmanfox@aol.com" <Cnclmanfox@aol.com>, Claudia Bill-de la Peña <claudia4slowgrowth@gmail.com>, Joel Price <JPrice@toaks.org>, "Rmccoy@toaks.org" <Rmccoy@toaks.org>

Reply-To: Mandyjacob <mandyjacob@protonmail.com>

2018 MAY 30 AM 7:40

Hi City council members

Hope you enjoyed Memorial Day yesterday! I have been getting a lot of questions regarding our next meeting, especially since it is on voting day. Is the meeting going to be a type of Q&A with Sheriff Dean? Or is he giving a presnetation? Should we still prepare speeches? Is SB 54 an Agenda item or is it sill part of Public comments?

Sorry to bombard you with all these questions. I know you have a lot of emails to sort through. But if you have time, I would really appreciate a quick answer.

Best
Mandy

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

TO COUNCIL 5-31-18
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C
MEETING DATE 6-5-18

May 22, 2018

CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

2018 MAY 29 A 9:17

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

Thousand Oaks City Council
2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

Honorable Council Members:

I strongly urge you to continue support of the California Values Act. SB 54 has been a positive way to protect immigrants without creating adverse problems for our citizens. Please listen to us local citizens rather than those from outside our city.

I am a voting citizen of Thousand Oaks.



Sara Kinsell
3575 N. Moorpark Rd., #231
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

2018 MAY 29 AM 9:39

CITY CLERK LEONARD
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

TO COUNCIL 5-31-2018
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9c
MEETING DATE 6-5-2018

NOTE!

Identical Post cards sent to all
Council members

Robert Brown
799 Calle Naranjo
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
805 492 0106
oneoutofmany@live.com

CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

2018 MAY 29 AM 9:18

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

The Hon. Andrew P. Fox
City of Thousand Oaks
2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd.
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

2018 MAY 29 AM 9:59

CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

May 23, 2018

Dear Mayor Fox,

Thank you for caring for our city.

As you discern your response to SB54, please say "yes" to
Thousand Oaks neighborliness.

Please say "no" to white supremacy, bigotry, and racism.

Sincerely,

Robert Brown

TO COUNCIL 5-31-2018
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C
MEETING DATE 6-5-2018

Message

2018 MAY 29 AM 10:11

CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

To: Andy Fox, Mayor

From: Susan Ebersson

Message: “My name is Susan Ebersson and I’m calling concerning the issue of SB 54. I’m calling to urge you not to consider bring this matter to a vote. As a resident of Thousand Oaks, I do not want my city to become known as a racist, exclusive city, which would be the effect if SB 54 were overturned. I have immigrant neighbors living across the street from me who are peaceful, wonderful, hard-working people. I do not want people like them to be under fear of being stopped by ICE at various places. Please consider not bringing this matter to a vote.”

TO COUNCIL 5-31-2018
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9C
MEETING DATE 6-5-2018

Voicemail Received By: 10:40 a.m., Monday, May 28, 2018

SB 54-Sanctuary City

Name	Phone	Support/Oppose	Notes	Email
Lynn Burtucci	805-358-0011	Oppose	left message the councilmembers voicemails 5/15-want to opt out	
Robert Hudson	805-208-4334	Oppose	left message on councilmembers voicemails 5/16-wants to opt out	
Joanne Cordia	805-358-6250	Oppose	left message on councilmembers voicemails 5/21-wants to opt out	
Lisa Dahill	614-338-8130	Support	called 5/22 in support of SB 54	ldahill@callutheran.edu
Barbara Marquez-O'Neill	805-746-0391	Support	called 5/23 in support of SB 54	
Sharon Duncan	805-701-4972	Support	called 5/24 in support of SB 54 (Camarillo resident)	
Susan Ebersson	805-341-7556	Support	left voicemail on Andy Fox's voicemail in support of SB 54-5/28	

2018 MAY 31 PM 2:55
CITY CLERK DISTRICT CLERK
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

TO COUNCIL 5-31-18
 AGENDA ITEM NO. 9c
 MEETING DATE 6-5-18