

THOUSAND OAKS CITY COUNCIL



Supplemental Information Packet

CM Rodriguez

Agenda Related Items - Meeting of April 25, 2017
Supplemental Packet Date: April 25, 2017
2:30 P.M.

Supplemental Information:

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed, typically a minimum of two—one available on the Thursday preceding the City Council meeting and the second on Tuesday at the meeting. The Thursday Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection in the City Clerk Department, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard, during normal business hours (main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2) Both the Thursday and Tuesday Supplemental Packets are available for public review at the City Council meeting in the City Council Chambers, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting or other services in conjunction with this meeting, please contact the City Clerk Department at (805) 449-2151. Assisted listening devices are available at this meeting. Ask City Clerk staff if you desire to use this device. Upon request, the agenda and documents in this agenda packet, can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist City staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

CityClerk - TOCC User Fees Public hearing today 25th Apr for supplementary packet

From: "NICK I. Quidwai" <concernedcitztoaks@gmail.com>
To: America786 Yahooogroup <americamyhome786@yahooogroups.com>, CityClerk <cit...
Date: 4/25/2017 1:20 PM
Subject: TOCC User Fees Public hearing today 25th Apr for supplementary packet
Cc: Cyndi Rodriguez <crodriguez@toaks.org>, Joel Price <jprice@toaks.org>, C...

Due to work/family constraints we could not provide input earlier:

1. There should be a comparison with 5, 10 & 15 yr rates in graphic form so that we get a true honest picture of how the tax payer is being fleeced by the city.
2. There should be at least 2 copies of the fee manual @ the public hearing like done before by you; I think NP Library is not being provided a manual.
3. COST Recovery: Seems like council does not care or understand but tax payers would like to know how and what you are assuming for overhead, staff time etc. Their ALLOCATION as the direct costs are easy to see, but we think that these costs are being inflated.
4. The APPEAL of the plann comm to council used to be \$500 It is \$1500 now and too high for residents Nick has brought this up but no one on Council has addressed this; even today residents were shafted last night 5-0 for noisy car wash; many would be willing to appeal but \$1500 + other costs are prohibitive.

Nick I. Quidwai



"Do not take life too seriously. You will never get out of it alive."

Newbury Park CA 91320-1821 ConcernedCitzTOaks at gmail.com

Cell 805-390-2857  <http://cctoaks-nick.blogspot.com/>

NO registration needed  <https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100003180981002&sk=wall>

Concernedcitizensthousandoaks NickQuidwai

 You  @cctoaks



2017 APR 25 PM 2:05
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

TO COUNCIL 4-25-17
 AGENDA ITEM NO. B.A.
 MEETING DATE 4-25-17

2017 APR 25 AM 8:32

CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

April 25, 2017

Re: Council Agenda Item 9a-California American Water Company Proposed Rate Increase

Council,

The staff report says that the County Board of Supervisors did not approve the \$10,000 participation contribution. While true it does not tell the entire story. As you should know the state of the Board has changed and some decisions may be more about political infighting than about the merits of the item. Some of the individual Supervisor comments were hypocritical, inconsistent and lacked substance. Nevertheless, the BOS, 5-0, supported the City's challenge but without the contribution pending Supervisor Parks follow up regarding participation by CVUSD.

CalAm's numbers are most likely underestimated. But even if we take them at their word regarding the average 32% increase I believe the increase for ratepayers who are yard-owners will be double or triple that number. Condo/apt owners will be much less impacted, if at all.

As you know any increases the city itself pays are passed through to property owners via the city's Landscape and Lighting District taxes. Together they are big increases and will seriously affect individual property values and lifestyles which represent 42% of the population of Thousand Oaks.

I was told by a CalAm representative that the State Water Board Chair, Felicia Marcus, is discreetly supportive of radical changes on Southern Californians. These proposed CalAm rates are in line with that mindset. If the state wants to have a discussion regarding water policy they should do it the right way and not be sneaky about it. Maybe the city should be contacting Jackie Irwin and Henry Stern regarding this. We should not have to use local taxpayer dollars to fight utilities regulated by the state who secretly support them.

John Fonti



TO COUNCIL 4-25-2017
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9.A.
MEETING DATE 4-25-2017