THOUSAND OAKS CITY COUNCIL

Supplemental Information Packet

K. Lawrence

Agenda Related Items - Meeting of April 14, 2015
Supplemental Packet Date: April 14, 2015
4:00 P.M

Supplemental Information:

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed, typically a minimum of two—one available on the Thursday preceding the City Council meeting and the second on Tuesday at the meeting. The Thursday Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection in the City Clerk Department, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard, during normal business hours (main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2) Both the Thursday and Tuesday Supplemental Packets are available for public review at the City Council meeting in the City Council Chambers, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting or other services in conjunction with this meeting, please contact the City Clerk Department at (805) 449-2151. Assisted listening devices are available at this meeting. Ask City Clerk staff if you desire to use this device. Upon request, the agenda and documents in this agenda packet, can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist City staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

Janis Daly - Fwd: Attached Image

From: Joana Smith
To: Daly, Janis
Date: 4/14/2015 3:22 PM
Subject: Fwd: Attached Image
Attachments: 0278_001.pdf

Hi Janis,

Here are the emails for the supplemental packet. Thank you so much!

Joana

>>> Jim Mashiko 4/14/2015 1:24 PM >>>
Joana,

Attached are 4 emails received for tonight's Council Item 9D regarding Newbury Road. Please provide copies to the City' Clerk's Office so it can be incorporated into the supplemental packet.

- Two citizens indicate support for the speed cushions/stop sign.
- One resident indicates she doesn't notice an issue and directed our attention to investigate traffic conditions at the Lynn Rd/Capitan intersection (which will be entered into CRMS).
- One resident raises a number of concerns with speed cushions and is not clear whether or not he supports speed cushions or a stop sign installation.

Jim

>>> <pwcopier@toaks.org> 4/14/2015 1:06 PM >>>

2015 APR 14 PM 3:43
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

TO COUNCIL 4-14-15
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9.D.
MEETING DATE 4-14-15

Jim Mashiko - City Council Meeting 4/14 at 6:00pm

From: Georgia Sturgeon <georgiasue48@gmail.com>
To: <jmashiko@toaks.org>
Date: 4/13/2015 9:28 AM
Subject: City Council Meeting 4/14 at 6:00pm

I just got this letter in the mail on Saturday so I don't have time to send my comments by mail and I am not available to attend the meeting either. However, my backyard is backed up to Haigh Road and our office is on Newbury Road so I am very passionate about wanting to have the speed bumps referred to in the letter installed on these two roads.
Thank you for allowing me to voice my vote.
Sincerely, Georgia Sturgeon

2015 APR 14 PM 3:34
CITY CLERK'S DEPARTMENT
CITY OF TROY, HILLS AND OAKS

Jim Mashiko - Meeting Notice for Newbury Road/Haigh

From: Rhondi Ewing <rhondi@ewingdesign.com>
To: <jmashiko@toaks.org>
Date: 4/13/2015 12:25 PM
Subject: Meeting Notice for Newbury Road/Haigh

Hi Jim,

I drive this stretch all the time but I haven't noticed an issue so I would not attend...

What I WOULD attend would be a discussion of the Lynn Road/Capitan crossing. It is very dangerous, most especially when crossing from West to East.
Traffic is very fast and there is a downward slope to the right, making cars difficult to see in time, and they are further hidden by bushes on the median strip.

Most of us go out of our way to avoid this crossing, but it is inconvenient. There is a nice park straight up the hill, (and lots of school friends on other side of Lynn) on Capitan where moms with strollers and young kids, and teens walking with friends on their own cross and often stand in the median waiting for a chance to finish crossing.

Now that my kids will be driving soon, I have to pray that they will heed my warning and avoid this crossing.

I would be very happy if the city could take any steps to mitigate this danger...at least remove those bushes and perhaps put up a "slow down for pedestrians and cross traffic" sign.

Thanks so much,

Rhondi Ewing
10 Cedar Crest Court

2015 APR 14 PM 3:34
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

Jim Mashiko - CONSIDER A PETITION REQUEST FOR THE INSTALLATION OF SPEED HUMPS ON NEWBURY ROAD

From: <michaelm.koch1@verizon.net>
To: <jmashiko@toaks.org>
Date: 4/13/2015 1:38 PM
Subject: CONSIDER A PETITION REQUEST FOR THE INSTALLATION OF SPEED HUMPS ON NEWBURY ROAD
Attachments: map1[3].jpg

2015 APR 14 PM 3:34
CITY CLERK'S DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

To: Mr Jim Mashiko / Senior Engineer
Public Works Department
City of Thousand Oaks
(805) 449-2418

From: Michael Koch
Retired Law Enforcement Officer

Subject: Request for the installation of speed humps on Newbury Road, Between Haigh Road and Windtree Avenue.

Mr. Mashiko,
I appreciate your time in reading this e-mail since I will not be able to attend the public meeting on April 14, , 2015 at 6:00 p.m. relating to the above subject.
My family have been a resident in this area for approx. 14 years and understand and feel for the residence who live on Newbury Road between Haigh Road and Venado Ave. I use this location as it is the only location on the proposed installation of speed humps where actual residential houses face the street. This location is approx. .25 of a mile where the residence front yard faces Newbury Road. The other .25 of a mile for proposed speed humps have no residences front yards facing Newbury Road (Venado to Windtree Ave.)
With my past experience enforcing the law, I have felt that good old traffic enforcement curbs the speeding at specific locations with a high tendency of people exceeding the speed limit.

One of my observations to this problem is the 40 mph speed limit on Newbury Road leading to Haigh Road and the current 25 mph speed limit. The motorist has a very limited time and distance to slow down to reach the 25 mph zone. I do believe it is approx. 2/10th of a mile.
In my opinion, since Newbury Road leading up to this 25 mph residential speed zone was changed to a speed limit of 35 mph from Ventu Park Road to Haigh Road (since this road is 90% commercial zoned) it might have a positive impact on adjusting to the lower speed of 25 mph.

Some of my other concerns are the following:

1. **Construction cost and continued maintenance** to install and maintain six speed humps in a 1/2 mile

distance in which actually only 1/4 of a mile actually have residences facing Newbury Road from Haigh Road to Windtree Ave.

2. These speed humps on Newbury Road can **cause traffic to divert to other streets** such as Castilian Ave, Capitan Street, Ensenada, Verado, Charro and Greenmeadow Ave's.

3. **Noise levels increase** at hump site's due to deceleration and rapid acceleration of vehicles going over street hump sights.

4. Drivers trying to avoid speed humps by driving to the curb area of the street where the speed humps are not so prevalent and with the parking of vehicles on the street **can cause different traffic hazard conditions**. Case in point, my wife and I drive on Montgomery Road to attend Adult School where there are several speed humps and not one time driving on the street I have ever **not seen a vehicle** drive close to the street curb to avoid the impact of the street humps.

5. Potential direct **spinal injury or a spinal injury as a result of repetitive driving** over speed humps which may cost the city **future lawsuits and litigation costs**.

6. **Emergency responders reduced response times** which can be critical even if it's just a few seconds.

7.Can cause pain and **further injury to some residents with pre existing disabilities**.

8. These speed hump devices **limit people with disabilities their direct un altered access to public right-of-way** guaranteed under the ADA (AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT).

9. It should be noted that there are **only 21 houses** on the proposed installation site of speed humps that face Newbury Road.

10. As a side note, if the argument of the neighborhood residences for having speed humps installed is to reduce the traffic speed for the safety of their children, then maybe some of the residences should not put up skate board ramps at times located in front of their house on the street and having their children ride skateboards and bicycles half hazardly on the street using the entire street to ride.

Again, thank you for your time in reading my concerns about this subject.

Respectfully
Michael Koch

Jim Mashiko - T&T Advisory Commission's recommendation on speed cushions on Newbury Rd.

From: "Brett" <brett@cal-urban.com>
To: <jmashiko@toaks.org>
Date: 4/14/2015 7:22 AM
Subject: T&T Advisory Commission's recommendation on speed cushions on Newbury Rd.

Dear Mr. Mashiko,
I write to inform you of my support of the Traffic and Transportation Advisory Commission's recommendation to install six two inch high speed cushions on Newbury Rd. east of Haigh Road and the multi way stop sign control at the intersection of Haigh Rd and Newbury Rd. I am a resident at 935 Newbury Rd. and the speed of the traffic at times in front of my house is excessive in combination with the curve just past my house causes an extremely dangerous situation. I believe that the addition of the speed cushions and the stop sign will definitely improve the safety of the street. I thank you in advance for considering this option and urge you to move forward with the installations.

Sincerely,

Brett Trebil
935 Newbury Rd.
Newbury Park, CA 91320
818-825-3589

2015 APR 14 PM 3:34
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS