THOUSAND OAKS CITY COUNCIL

Supplemental Information Packet

K. Lawrence

Agenda Related Items - Meeting of April 14, 2015
Supplemental Packet Date: April 14, 2015

Supplemental Information:

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed, typically a minimum of two—one available on the Thursday preceding the City Council meeting and the second on Tuesday at the meeting. The Thursday Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection in the City Clerk Department, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard, during normal business hours (main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2) Both the Thursday and Tuesday Supplemental Packets are available for public review at the City Council meeting in the City Council Chambers, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting or other services in conjunction with this meeting, please contact the City Clerk Department at (805) 449-2151. Assisted listening devices are available at this meeting. Ask City Clerk staff if you desire to use this device. Upon request, the agenda and documents in this agenda packet, can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist City staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

Melanie L. Beck
725 E. Hillcrest Drive, P.O. Box 6413, Thousand Oaks, CA 91359
(805) 557-1549 yollzer@yahoo.com

April 12, 2015

2015 APR 13 AM 10: 42
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

Honorable Mayor Al Adams
Honorable Council Members
City of Thousand Oaks
2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd.
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

Re: City Council Meeting April 14, 2015, Agenda Item No. 7K
Resolution Urging Businesses to Refrain from Selling and Using and Residents to Refrain from Using Anticoagulant Rodenticides

Dear Councilmembers:

I wish to register my support for the proposed resolution that would end the City's use of anti-coagulant rodenticides and encourage local businesses and residents to refrain from using them. I value the City's setting within extensive open space owned by COSCA, CRPD, Rancho Simi RPD, National Park Service, and California State Parks. I value just as much the wild life (two words intended) that makes up the open space, and I have an expectation that wildlife (the fauna) will live freely and safely in the protected open space.

The wildlife cannot help but interface with suburban development in Thousand Oaks, and that has led to such a tragic loss of bobcats, lions, and raptors. I have witnessed bobcats stricken with mange. One sighting was along Hillsborough Fire Road, and the other was right out my office window in the city. I will never forget seeing these zombie-like dying animals and the feelings of deep sadness and fear for the future of both wild life and humankind.

The safety of wildlife depends on us to not use rodenticides that end up killing large mammals, raptors & owls, and reptiles that prey on poisoned rodents. To that end, the city's resolution is a beginning, and I hope the city will move forward with determination to educate the residents and businesses about not using anti-coagulant rodenticides. Here are some example situations:

- I have recently seen second-generation anti-coagulant rodenticides stocked at OSH, in violation of U.S. EPA's prohibition of the sale of these rodenticides to the general public. I would like to see the City double check on these businesses to make sure they are following federal and state rules (and now the city's efforts to refrain from use).

TO COUNCIL 4-14-2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 7.K.
MEETING DATE 4-14-2015

- There are bate traps at the Conejo Valley YMCA around the office and the gym facilities. There should be no food around these facilities, and it seems the YMCA (through a contractor) has no need to use these rodenticides, particularly right at the interface with COSCA open space.

- An anti-coagulant rodenticide-stocked trap is positioned on the back side of the Goebel Center next to the sidewalk, and just across from the oak woodland in the creek channel. Again, having rodents eat the bate, exit the trap, and then wander into the wildlife-rich stream corridor is an absolutely direct feeding of intoxicated rodents to native wildlife.

I'm providing these examples of what should be quick and easy opportunities to immediately implement the new resolution and thereby reduce anti-coagulant rodenticide use at the developed/open space interface.

I thank the Council for taking a leadership role in addressing what has got to be the most horrific wildlife disaster to affect the Conejo Valley (and the rest of communities throughout the country that have adjacent open space). If there is anything I can do to help the City implement the resolution, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Melanie Beck

Melanie Beck

CityClerk - Fwd: City Council Meeting April 14, 2015 - Agendum 1.9.D

From: Bill Hoyt <bhoytto@gmail.com>
To: <CityClerk@toaks.org>
Date: 4/13/2015 1:02 PM
Subject: Fwd: City Council Meeting April 14, 2015 - Agendum 1.9.D

2015 APR 13 PM 3:54
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Bill Hoyt** <bhoytto@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Apr 13, 2015 at 12:48 PM
Subject: City Council Meeting April 14, 2015 - Agendum 1.9.D
To: CityClerk@toaks.org
Addition to previously-sent unfinished message

Councilpersons:

This message is to express my concerns regarding the installation of Stop Signs and Speed **HUMPS** on Newbury Road.

While I acknowledge that there is generally a speed problem on Newbury Road, I don't believe that the installation of speed humps on Newbury Road is the correct solution to the problem. Speed Humps are designed to be a nuisance and are intended to cause motorists to reduce their speed, but they also cause diversion of traffic to other streets in the surrounding area. I don't believe that the residents of other streets in the neighborhood should have to suffer the diversion of traffic to their streets in an attempt to mitigate the situation faced by the few residents who actually have a Newbury Road address (a number which is far less than that stated in the Traffic Advisory Committee's report).

You'll notice that I call them speed HUMPS, not "cushions", because they are definitely not soft and cushy. The terms "speed cushions" is an attempt by Public Works to con the gullible into thinking that they'll be *nice and cozy* and maybe even *lovely.* They will, in fact, be a damn nuisance - just like they're designed to be. I wonder if perhaps they're intended more as a make-work project for Public Works.

I believe the real solution to the speeding situation is aggressive law enforcement which will translate into education for the offenders, and which will most likely result in them spreading the word to their neighbors. I continue to find it interesting that Public Works has never considered law enforcement as a possible solution. I suppose that if you have a cement mixer, you need to find places to use it. We're paying for law enforcement, why not have them do their job?

As for placement of stop signs at Haigh Road, I think, that while it would be somewhat of a nuisance, it's probably a good idea. In fact, it's probably a good idea regardless of the speed problem because that intersection is somewhat tricky due to the angle of Haigh Road relative to Newbury Road.

In summary: First choice (overwhelmingly): **LAW ENFORCEMENT**
Second Choice: **Stop Signs**
NOT a Choice: **No Speed Humps !!!**

Thank you for considering my concerns and opinions for the best solution to the Newbury Road speeding situation.

TO COUNCIL 4-14-2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9. D.
MEETING DATE 4-14-2015

Sincerely,

William H. Hoyt
242 Venado Avenue
Newbury Park, CA 91320

City of Thousand Oaks

City Council
MEMORANDUM

2100 Thousand Oaks Boulevard • Thousand Oaks, CA 91362
Phone 805/449.2121 • Fax 805/449.2125 • www.toaks.org

2015 APR 14 AM 9:17
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

TO: City Council

FROM: Joel R. Price, Councilmember

DATE: April 14, 2015

SUBJECT: **League of California Cities Public Safety Policy Committee Meeting, April 9, 2015**

RECOMMENDATION:

Receive report.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

No Additional Funding Requested. Direct and indirect costs are included in adopted FY 2014-15 General Fund Budget.

BACKGROUND:

The League of California Cities (LCC) is an association of California city officials who work together to enhance their knowledge and skills, exchange information, and combine resources so that they may influence policy decisions that affect cities.

Founded in 1898 on the principles of local control and interagency cooperation, LCC has grown from a handful of public officials to include the voluntary membership of 478 California cities. Today, LCC and its member cities are working hard to strengthen the effectiveness of their advocacy efforts. LCC's mission statement reflects this commitment to "restore and protect local control for cities through education and advocacy to enhance the quality of life for all Californians."

DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS:

LCC coordinates Policy Committees on a variety of topics including Public Safety, Community Services, Environmental Quality, and Revenue and Taxation. Each year, LCC accepts nominations for Councilmembers to participate in these committees. Councilmember Joel Price was appointed to serve on the Public Safety Policy Committee (PSPC) as the Channel Counties Division representative for the 2015 term.

TO COUNCIL 4-14-2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 12.A.2.
MEETING DATE 4-14-2015

LCC hosts quarterly policy committee meetings, and rotates the location between Northern and Southern California venues. On April 9, 2015, the PSPC held their quarterly meeting at the Doubletree Hotel in Ontario, CA as follows:

A. Oil by Rail Update

At the January Policy Committee meeting, committee members discussed the issue of oil by rail. The Committee developed recommendations for policy development. LCC's Board of Directors reviewed the recommendations and approved a letter to U.S. Dept of Transportation Secretary, Anthony Foxx.

LCC also prepared and sent a letter to Senate Budget Subcommittee regarding a proposed hazmat fee. The fee would fund regional emergency hazardous materials response teams throughout the State. These teams are crucial in light of the increased movement of hazardous materials such as crude oil through the State's rail system.

B. Legislative Fixes to Proposition 47

After the passage of Proposition 47, which reduced penalties for certain non-serious and non-violent drug and property crimes, there were a number of ambiguities on how to apply the new law.

This year, at least three State legislators have introduced bills to clarify the impact of Proposition 47 on specific offenses pertaining to firearms and the possession of a specific category of controlled substances.

1. **AB 150, Firearms (Melendez)** – This measure specifies that the theft of a firearm valued at $950 or less is a felony offense. Theft of a firearm is a serious matter, and should be treated as such irrespective of Proposition 47.

2. **AB 46, Controlled Substances (Lackey)** – This bill clarifies that legal possession of Ketamine, GHB, or Rohypnol shall be limited to the prescription holder of such substances, or an individual whose sole intent is to deliver the controlled substance to the prescription holder for its prescribed use, or otherwise to dispose of it in a lawful manner. It also expressly prohibits the use of such substances by anyone other than the prescription holder, and also prohibits distribution or sale of such a substance by unauthorized persons.

3. **SB 333, Controlled Substances (Galgiani)** – This bill contains identical provisions regarding valid, legal possession of Ketamine, GHB, or Rohypnol as those described above for AB 46. It also specifies that penalties for illicit possession of such controlled substances shall include up to one year imprisonment in County jail, or felony penalties varying from 16 months to three years.

C. State Legislative Discussion

1. **AB 34, Medical Marijuana (Bonta) –** (Note: This bill competes with the LCC-sponsored AB 266 by Assemblymember Ken Cooley. LCC staff is still reviewing the bill.) AB 34 designates the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) as the implementing agency for marijuana regulation and ABC as the sole entity in licensing marijuana businesses in California. The bill also would disqualify an applicant for licensure if convicted in the previous five years of a serious or violent felony, or a felony involving fraud or deceit. **Item was informational; a motion was made to oppose the bill. Since bill is an informational item, Committee took no action.**

2. **AB 46, Controlled Substances (Bonta)** – This bill clarifies that legal possession of Ketamine, GHB, or Rohypnol shall be limited to the prescription holder of such substances, or an individual whose sole intent is to deliver the controlled substance to the prescription holder for its prescribed use, or otherwise to dispose of it in a lawful manner. It also expressly prohibits the use of such substances by anyone other than the prescription holder, and also prohibits distribution or sale of such a substance by unauthorized persons. **Committee supported bill.**

3. **SB 175, Peace Officers: Body Cameras (Huff)** – This bill requires each agency that employs police officers and elects to require them to wear body cameras to develop a policy pertaining to their use, and to provide it to each officer required to wear a camera. **Committee supported bill.**

4. **AB 195, Peace Officers: Body Cameras (Anderson)** – This measure states the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that protects the privacy of individuals recorded by body-worn cameras utilized by law enforcement officers and the privacy of law enforcement officers wearing body-worn cameras. The difference with AB 195 versus SB 175 is that SB 175 allowed cities whose police officers wore body cameras to establish their own policies; AB 195 required specific language be incorporated into all cities policies. **Committee directed LCC staff to continue to monitor/watch bill.**

5. **SB 333, Controlled Substances (Galgiani)** – This bill contains identical provisions regarding valid, legal possession of Ketamine, GHB, or Rohypnol as those described above for AB 46. It also specifies that penalties for illicit possession of such controlled substances shall include up to one year imprisonment in County jail, or felony penalties varying from 16 months to three years. **Committee supported bill.**

6. **AB 947, Controlled Substances (Chavez)** – This measure will make the felony of possessing specified controlled substances while armed with a loaded firearm an offense punishable by imprisonment in County jail for two, three, or four years. Currently, this offense is punishable by imprisonment in State prison for two, three, or four years. It will further specify that any sentencing enhancements related to this offense to be served in State prison. **Committee opposed bill.**

D. Next Meeting: Thursday, June 11, 2015, Sacramento Convention Center.

COUNCIL GOAL COMPLIANCE:

Meets City Council Goal A:

A. Provide municipal government leadership which is open and responsive to residents, and is characterized by ethical behavior, stability, confidence in the future, and cooperative interaction among civic leaders, residents, business representatives, and City staff, while recognizing and respecting legitimate differences of opinion on critical issues facing the City.

PREPARED BY: Mina M. Layba, Legislative Affairs Manager