

THOUSAND OAKS CITY COUNCIL



Supplemental Information Packet

Cynthia M. Rodriguez

Agenda Related Items - Meeting of January 9, 2018
Supplemental Packet Date: January 9, 2018

3:30 P.M.

Supplemental Information:

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed, typically a minimum of two—one available on the Thursday preceding the City Council meeting and the second on Tuesday at the meeting. The Thursday Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection in the City Clerk Department, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard, during normal business hours (main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2) Both the Thursday and Tuesday Supplemental Packets are available for public review at the City Council meeting in the City Council Chambers, 2100 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting or other services in conjunction with this meeting, please contact the City Clerk Department at (805) 449-2151. Assisted listening devices are available at this meeting. Ask City Clerk staff if you desire to use this device. Upon request, the agenda and documents in this agenda packet, can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist City staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.

Janis Daly

From: Cyndi Rodriguez
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2018 3:20 PM
To: Laura Maguire; Janis Daly
Subject: FW: Planning Commissioner Opinion Letter
Attachments: img010.jpg

FYI

Cynthia M. Rodriguez, MMC
City Clerk
City of Thousand Oaks
(805) 449-2166
crodriguez@toaks.org

City Hall Hours - Monday-Thursday 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
Fridays 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (City Hall is closed every other Friday)

From: Janet M. Wall [mailto:walljanetm@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2018 2:59 PM
To: Jeffrey Specter <JSpecter@toaks.org>; Cyndi Rodriguez <CRodriguez@toaks.org>
Subject: Re: Planning Commissioner Opinion Letter

Thank you Jeff. Sorry for the omission -- page 4 attached and will bring hard copies to the meeting as well.

~Janet

On Tue, Jan 9, 2018 at 2:40 PM, Jeffrey Specter <JSpecter@toaks.org> wrote:

The letter will be delivered to City Council this afternoon in the supplemental packet. By the way, the letter you provided only included the first page of the 1996 report. I understand that the City Clerk located the second page and plans to attach it to your letter. You might want to verify with the City Clerk.

Jeffrey Specter

Senior Planner

City of Thousand Oaks

805 449-2325

TO COUNCIL 1-9-2018
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9.A.
MEETING DATE 1-9-2018

2018 JAN - 9 PM 3:33
CITY CLERK'S DEPARTMENT
CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS

From: Janet M. Wall [mailto:walljanetm@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2018 7:55 AM

To: Jeffrey Specter <JSpecter@toaks.org>

Subject: Planning Commissioner Opinion Letter

Hi Jeff,

Yesterday, about 9:50 a.m., I delivered a letter and attachment (April 22, 1996 Memo from Andy Fox to City Council re Growth Control) to you for distribution to the Council and City Manager.

Could you please let me know if the letter has been delivered in a timely manner so that Council has an opportunity to reflect on it well before the hearing? So many times, while on Planning Commission, we received Supplemental packets on such short notice (sometimes on the dais) that there wasn't time to study and reflect on the contents. Of course, those contents may have been received by Staff on short notice. However, to avoid this situation, it was hand-delivered to you in person early in yesterday.

Thank you for your cooperation!

~Janet Wall

deserve additional assurance that their City will remain a special place; and I believe that there is no better way to provide this assurance than to place the keys to the City's future in the hands of the citizens who are most affected by the decisions the City will make.

The City has long had a quality General Plan which has provided excellent guidance to this and prior City Councils. In part, as a consequence of many amendments to the General Plan, there have been substantial reductions in the projected population at buildout of the City from previously anticipated 180,000 - 200,000 citizens to the presently projected 135,000 population. In addition, there have been significant reductions in commercially-zoned acreage.

The best way to place long-term "community defining" decisions in the hands of our citizens is to empower them to make the final decision regarding major changes in the General Plan that would alter the City's future by allowing greater population and commercialization than presently provided for in our General Plan -- the constitution of the City. Through this proposal, future City Councils would be able to make minor changes in the General Plan, as particular situations may warrant, if these changes might mean that the residential and/or commercial uses might be increased. If the Council chose to do this, they would be required to do one of two things:

(1) concurrently reduce densities and commercial space elsewhere in the City
or

(2) submit the proposed General Plan changes which would increase the City's ultimate population or commercial areas to the voters.

In my view, this proposed concept provides protection to our citizens while also providing flexibility on the many land use issues that occur on a frequent basis without requiring Special Elections which cost in excess of \$100,000.

CC:440/buildout